

ROBERTI-Z'BERG-HARRIS 2002 PUBLIC COMMENTS
ALL SITES COMBINED

TOPIC	Venue	COMMENT	RESPONSE
General	Anaheim	Appreciates the new procedural guide in assisting with the application process.	Thank you for your comment.
Eligible Applicants	Sacramento	Why does the city or county have to be the applicant and not the school district?	Eligible applicants were defined in legislation. No change to the guidelines.
	Sacramento	Applied for a \$750,000 grant last year. City Council hired a new administrator. The new administrator didn't have time to review the project. Project was dropped because we are not an eligible applicant. Had we known we would have partnered with the county.	Not a guideline issue.
Eligible Projects	Anaheim	RZH Block, Innovative Recreation Program. Would like clarification on eligibility of new vs. existing projects.	Guidelines consistent with statute. No changes to the guidelines.
	Anaheim	Add clarification if 3/7 match waiver of entire project amount applies to Innovative Recreation Programs.	Match waiver applies to the entire RZH program. No change to the guidelines.
	e-mail	There is no set criteria by which the Department will decide which jurisdiction is eligible to have the 3/7 march waived. If there will be requests that are not approved, the guidelines should clearly state the levels that must be met for approval	Match Waiver criteria are included in the guidelines. No changes to the guidelines.
	e-mail	The 1/7 private or non-state match is difficult to achieve.	The match requirement is in legislation. No change to the guidelines.
Application Requirement and Checklist	e-mail	The CEQA requirements include a response from the State Clearinghouse. The State Clearinghouse now lists projects received for public review on their website, but they no longer mail a response to the local agencies.	No change to the guidelines. The State Clearinghouse advised that responses to Environmental Impact Reports, Initial Studies, and Negative Declarations were still issued.
Criteria #3	letter	Need to clearly identify "economically disadvantaged" . The specific indicators should take into consideration: 1) the number of people at 100% of poverty, 2) the unemployment rate in a given community, 3) Per capita annual family income, 4) Low test scores in children, 5) the high school drop out rate, 6) AFDC rate and 7) Inaccessibility barriers that exist in rural areas.	Comment considered. No change to the guidelines

ROBERTI-Z'BERG-HARRIS 2002 PUBLIC COMMENTS
ALL SITES COMBINED

[illegible]